

ON SOME REPRESENTATIONS OF THE RATIONAL CHEREDNIK ALGEBRA

TATYANA CHMUTOVA AND PAVEL ETINGOF

ABSTRACT. We study lowest weight representations of the rational Cherednik algebra attached to a complex reflection group W . In particular, we generalize a number of previous results due to Berest, Etingof, and Ginzburg.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the structure of some lowest weight representations of the rational Cherednik algebra $H_c(W)$ attached to an irreducible complex reflection group W ([EG, GGOR]).

The composition of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definition and basic properties of the rational Cherednik algebra and its representations. Then we describe the rank 1 case, and prove a few general results. In particular, we show that for real reflection groups, a finite dimensional quotient of the polynomial representation is irreducible if and only if it is a Gorenstein algebra.

In Section 3, we consider the special case $W = S_n$. Let M_k be the polynomial representation of $H_k(S_n)$. Dunkl showed in [Du] that if r is a positive integer not divisible by n and $k = r/n$, then M_k contains a copy of the reflection representation of S_n in degree r which consists of singular vectors. Let I_k be the $H_k(S_n)$ -submodule in M_k generated by these singular vectors. We compute the support of the module M_k/I_k as a $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ -module. In particular, we show that the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of M_k/I_k is $d - 1$, where d is the greatest common divisor of r and n . In the special case $d = 1$, this implies that M_k/I_k is finite dimensional. Using this fact and the results of Section 2, we give a simple proof of the result from [BEG] that the module M_k/I_k has dimension r^{n-1} and is irreducible.

In Section 4, consider the case when W is the complex reflection group $S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^n$. We use a similar formula for singular vectors (due to Dunkl and Opdam, [DO]) to study finite dimensional representations of $H_c(W)$. More specifically, for each positive integer r not divisible by l , we define a hyperplane E_r in the space of the functions c , and for each $c \in E_r$ construct a quotient Y_c of the polynomial representation M_c of dimension r^n , which is generically irreducible. For $l = 2$, we use the results of Section 2 to obtain a more precise information about the set of $c \in E_r$ for which Y_c is irreducible.

Acknowledgments. The research of P.E. was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-9988796, and was done in part for the Clay Mathematics Institute.

2. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE RATIONAL CHEREDNIK ALGEBRA FOR A GENERAL COMPLEX REFLECTION GROUP

2.1. Definitions and notation. In this subsection we recall the standard theory of the rational Cherednik algebra [GGOR, EG].

Let W be an irreducible complex reflection group with reflection representation $\mathfrak{h}$ of dimension ℓ . Let c be a conjugation invariant complex function on the set S of complex reflections of W . The rational Cherednik algebra $H_c(W)$ is generated by $g \in W$, $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $y \in \mathfrak{h}$, with defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} g x g^{-1} &= x^g, g y g^{-1} = y^g, [x, x'] = 0, [y, y'] = 0, \\ [y, x] &= (y, x) - \sum_{s \in S} c_s(y, \alpha_s)(\alpha_s^\vee, x)s, \end{aligned}$$

for $x, x' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $y, y' \in \mathfrak{h}$, $g \in W$. Here α_s is a nonzero linear function on $\mathfrak{h}$ vanishing on the reflection hyperplane for s , and $\alpha_s^\vee$ is a linear function on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ with the same property, such that $(\alpha_s^\vee, \alpha_s) = 2$.

For any irreducible representation τ of W , let $M_c(\tau)$ be the standard representation of $H_c(W)$ with lowest weight τ , i.e. $M_c(\tau) = H_c(W) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]} \tau$, where τ is the representation of $\mathbb{C}W \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$, in which $y \in \mathfrak{h}$ act by 0. Thus, as a vector space, $M_c(\tau)$ is naturally identified with $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \otimes \tau$.

An important special case of $M_c(\tau)$ is $M_c = M_c(\mathbb{C})$, the polynomial representation, corresponding to the case when $\tau = \mathbb{C}$ is trivial. The polynomial representation can thus be naturally identified with $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$. Elements of W and $\mathfrak{h}^*$ act in this space in the obvious way, while elements $y \in \mathfrak{h}$ act by Dunkl operators

$$\partial_y - \sum_{s \in S} \frac{2c_s}{1 - \lambda_s} \frac{(\alpha_s, y)}{\alpha_s} (1 - s),$$

where λ_s is the nontrivial eigenvalue of s in the dual reflection representation.

An important element in $H_c(W)$ is the element

$$\mathbf{h} = \sum_i x_i y_i + \frac{\ell}{2} - \sum_{s \in S} \frac{2c_s}{1 - \lambda_s} s,$$

where y_i is a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ and x_i the dual basis of $\mathfrak{h}^*$. This element is W -invariant and satisfies the equations $[\mathbf{h}, x] = x$ and $[\mathbf{h}, y] = -y$. The category $\mathcal{O}$ of $H_c(W)$ -modules is the category of $H_c(W)$ -modules V , such that V is the direct sum of finite dimensional generalized eigenspaces of $\mathbf{h}$, and the real part of the spectrum of $\mathbf{h}$ is bounded below. The standard representations $M_c(\tau)$ and their irreducible quotients $L_c(\tau)$ belong to $\mathcal{O}$. The character of a module $V \in \mathcal{O}$ is $\chi_V(g, t) = \text{Tr}|_V(gt^{\mathbf{h}})$, $g \in W$ (this is a series in t). For example, the character of $M_c(\tau)$ is

$$\chi_{M_c(\tau)}(g, t) = \frac{\chi_\tau(g) t^{h(\tau)}}{\det|_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(1 - gt)},$$

where $h(\tau) := \ell/2 - \sum_s \frac{2c_s}{1 - \lambda_s} s|_\tau$ is the lowest eigenvalue of $\mathbf{h}$ in $M_c(\tau)$.

We note that if W is a real reflection group then $\mathbf{h}$ can be included in an sl_2 triple $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum x_i^2, \mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{2} \sum y_i^2$, where x_i, y_i are orthonormal bases of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\mathfrak{h}$, respectively (see e.g. [BEG1], Section 3).

The module $L_c(\tau)$ can be characterized in terms of the contragredient standard modules. Namely, let $\hat{M}_c(\tau) = \tau^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[W] \ltimes \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]} H_c(W)$ be a right $H_c(W)$ -module, and $M_c(\tau)^\vee = \hat{M}_c(\tau)^*$ its restricted dual, which may be called the contragredient standard module. Clearly, there is a natural morphism $\phi : M_c(\tau) \rightarrow M_c(\tau)^\vee$. The module $L_c(\tau)$ is the image of ϕ .

Note that the map ϕ can be viewed as a bilinear form $B : \hat{M}_c(\tau) \otimes M_c(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This form is analogous to the Shapovalov form in Lie theory.

If W is a real reflection group, then $H_c(W)$ admits a natural antiinvolution $x_i \rightarrow y_i, y_i \rightarrow x_i, g \rightarrow g^{-1}$ for $g \in W$ (where x_i, y_i are orthonormal bases of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ dual to each other). Under this antiinvolution, the right module $\hat{M}_c(\tau)$ turns into the left module $M_c(\tau^*)$, so the form B is a (possibly degenerate) pairing $M_c(\tau^*) \otimes M_c(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it is clear that if Y, Y' are any quotients of $M_c(\tau), M_c(\tau^*)$ respectively, then B descends to a pairing $Y' \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (nondegenerate iff Y, Y' are irreducible). This pairing satisfies the contravariance equations $B(a, x_i b) = B(y_i a, b)$, $B(a, y_i b) = B(x_i a, b)$, and $B(ga, gb) = B(a, b)$.

2.2. The rank 1 case. One of the main problems in representation theory of the rational Cherednik algebra is to compute the multiplicities of $L_c(\sigma)$ in $M_c(\tau)$ or, equivalently, the characters of $L_c(\sigma)$. This problem is hard and open in general. However, in the rank one case ($\ell = 1$) this problem is trivial to solve. Nevertheless, it is an instructive example, and we will give the answer, omitting the proofs, which are straightforward.

In the rank 1 case, $W = \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$, and the reflection representation is $\mathbb{C}$, with the generator s of W acting by ε , where ε is a primitive root of unity of degree l . The function c is a collection of numbers $(c_1, \dots, c_{l-1})$ (where $c_i = c_{s^i}$), and the algebra $H_c(W)$ is generated by three generators x, y, s with defining relations

$$sx = \varepsilon^{-1}xs, sy = \varepsilon ys, s^l = 1, \\ [y, x] = 1 - 2 \sum c_j s^j.$$

The irreducible representations of W are η^j , where $\eta(s) = \varepsilon$.

Define the polynomial $f_c(z) = \sum \frac{2c_j}{1-\varepsilon^{-j}} z^j$. The lowest eigenvalue of $\mathbf{h}$ on $M_c(\eta^j)$ is $\frac{1}{2} - f_c(\varepsilon^j)$.

Theorem 2.1. (i) *The multiplicity of $L_c(\eta^m)$ in $M_c(\eta^p)$ is 1 if $f_c(\varepsilon^p) - f_c(\varepsilon^m)$ is a positive integer congruent to $p - m$ modulo l , and zero otherwise.*

(ii) *If $L_c(\eta^p) \neq M_c(\eta^p)$ then $L_c(\eta^p)$ is finite dimensional, and the character of $L_c(\eta^p)$ is*

$$\text{Tr}(s^j t^{\mathbf{h}}) = \varepsilon^{pj} t^{\frac{1}{2} - f(\varepsilon^p)} \frac{1 - t^b \varepsilon^{-bj}}{1 - t \varepsilon^{-j}},$$

where b is the smallest positive integer of the form $f_c(\varepsilon^p) - f_c(\varepsilon^m)$ congruent to $p - m$ modulo l .

2.3. The Gorenstein property. Any submodule J of the polynomial representation $M_c = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$, so the quotient $A = M_c/J$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded commutative algebra.

Now suppose that W is a real reflection group. Recall that it was shown in [BEG], Proposition 1.13, that if A is irreducible (i.e. $A = L_c$, the irreducible quotient of M_c) then A is a Gorenstein algebra (see [E] pp.529, 532 for definitions). Here we prove the converse statement.

Theorem 2.2. *If $A = M_c/J$ is finite dimensional and Gorenstein, then $A = L_c$ (i.e. A is irreducible).*

Proof. Since A is Gorenstein, the highest degree component of A is 1-dimensional, and the pairing $E : A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $E(a, b) := \text{h.c.}(ab)$ (where h.c. stands for the highest degree coefficient) is nondegenerate. This pairing obviously satisfies the condition $E(xa, b) = E(a, xb), x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Now recall that $H_c(W)$ and A admits a

natural action of the group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$; then $g(x_i) = y_i$ for orthonormal bases x_i, y_i of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ which are dual to each other. Thus the nondegenerate form $\tilde{B}(a, b) := E(a, gb)$ on A satisfies the equations $\tilde{B}(a, x_i b) = \tilde{B}(y_i a, b)$. So for any $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$, one has $\tilde{B}(f_1(x)v, f_2(x)v) = \tilde{B}(f_2(y)f_1(x)v, v)$, where $v = 1$ is the lowest weight vector of A . This shows that $\tilde{B}$ coincides with the Shapovalov form B on A . Thus A is an irreducible representation of $H_c(W)$. $\square$

Remark. It is easy to see by considering the rank 1 case that for complex reflection groups Theorem 2.2 is, in general, false.

Theorem 2.3. *Let W be a complex reflection group, and $U \subset M_c$ be a W -subrepresentation of dimension $\ell = \dim(\mathfrak{h})$ sitting in degree r , consisting of singular vectors (i.e. those killed by $y \in \mathfrak{h}$). Let J be the ideal generated by U . Assume that the quotient representation $A = M_c/J$ is finite dimensional. Then*

- (i) *The algebra A is Gorenstein.*
- (ii) *The representation A admits a BGG type resolution*

$$A \leftarrow M_c(\mathbb{C}) \leftarrow M_c(U) \leftarrow M_c(\wedge^2 U) \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow M_c(\wedge^\ell U) \leftarrow 0.$$

- (iii) *The character of A is given by the formula*

$$\chi_A(g, t) = t^{\frac{\ell}{2} - \sum_s \frac{2c_s}{1-\lambda_s}} \frac{\det |U(1 - gt^r)|}{\det_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(1 - gt)}.$$

In particular, the dimension of A is r^ℓ .

- (iv) *If W is a real reflection group, then A is irreducible.*

Proof. (i) Since $\text{Spec}(A)$ is a complete intersection, A is Gorenstein ([E] p.541).

(ii) Consider the subring $\mathbb{C}[U]$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$. Then $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[U]$ -module, and therefore by a standard theorem of Serre [S], it is free, of rank is r^ℓ (the latter follows from computing the Hilbert series). Consider the Koszul resolution attached to this module. At the level of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ modules, this resolution looks exactly as we want in (ii). So we need to show that the maps of the resolution are in fact morphisms of $H_c(W)$ -modules and not only $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ -modules. This is easily established by induction (going from left to right), cf. proof of Proposition 2.2 in [BEG] and also [Go].

- (iii) Follows from (ii) by the Euler-Poincare principle.

- (iv) Follows from Theorem 2.2. $\square$

3. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE RATIONAL CHEREDNIK ALGEBRA OF TYPE A

3.1. The results. Let $W = S_n$. In this case the function c reduces to one number k . We will denote the rational Cherednik algebra $H_k(S_n)$ by $H_k(n)$. The polynomial representation M_k of this algebra is the space of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^T$ of polynomials of $x_1, \dots, x_n$, which are invariant under simultaneous translation $x_i \mapsto x_i + a$. In other words, it is the space of regular functions on $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}^n/\Delta$, where Δ is the diagonal.

Proposition 3.1. [Du] *Let r be a positive integer not divisible by n , and $k = r/n$. Then M_k contains a copy of the reflection representation $\mathfrak{h}$ of S_n , which consists of singular vectors. This copy sits in degree r and is spanned by the functions*

$$f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{Res}_\infty [(z - x_1) \dots (z - x_n)]^{\frac{r}{n}} \frac{dz}{z - x_i}.$$

(the symbol Res_∞ denotes the residue at infinity).

Remark. The space spanned by f_i is $n - 1$ -dimensional, since $\sum_i f_i = 0$ (this sum is the residue of an exact differential).

Proof. This proposition can be proved by a straightforward computation. The functions f_i are a special case of Jack polynomials. $\square$

Let I_k be the submodule of M_k generated by f_i . Consider the $H_k(n)$ -module $V_k = M_k/I_k$, and regard it as a $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -module.

Our result is

Theorem 3.2. *Let $d = (r, n)$ denote the greatest common divisor of r and n . Then the (set-theoretical) support of V_k is the union of S_n -translates of the subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n/\Delta$, defined by the equations*

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= x_2 = \cdots = x_{\frac{n}{d}}; \\ x_{\frac{n}{d}+1} &= \cdots = x_{2\frac{n}{d}}; \\ &\vdots \\ x_{(d-1)\frac{n}{d}+1} &= \cdots = x_n. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of V_k is $d - 1$.

The theorem allows us to give a simple proof of the following result of [BEG] (without the use of the KZ functor and Hecke algebras).

Corollary 3.3. ([BEG]) *If $d = 1$ then the module $V_k := M_k/I_k$ is finite dimensional, irreducible, admits a BGG type resolution, and its character is*

$$\chi_{V_k}(g, t) = t^{(1-r)(n-1)/2} \frac{\det |_{\hbar}(1 - gt^r)}{\det |_{\hbar}(1 - gt)}.$$

Proof. For $d = 1$ Theorem 3.2 says that the support of M_k/I_k is $\{0\}$. This implies that M_k/I_k is finite dimensional. The rest follows from Theorem 2.3. $\square$

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2. The support of V_k is the zero-set of I_k , i.e. the common zero set of f_i . Fix $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for all i iff $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i = 0$ for all λ_i , i.e.

$$\text{Res}_{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (z - x_j)^{\frac{r}{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{z - x_i} \right) dz = 0.$$

Assume that $x_1, \dots, x_n$ take distinct values $y_1, \dots, y_p$ with positive multiplicities $m_1, \dots, m_p$. The previous equation implies that the point $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is in the zero set iff

$$\text{Res}_{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^p (z - y_j)^{m_j \frac{r}{n} - 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i (z - y_1) \cdots (\widehat{z - y_i}) \cdots (z - y_p) \right) dz = 0 \quad \forall \nu_i.$$

Since ν_i are arbitrary, this is equivalent to the condition

$$\text{Res}_{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^p (z - y_j)^{m_j \frac{r}{n} - 1} z^i dz = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, p-1.$$

We will now need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. Let $a(z) = \prod_{j=1}^p (z - y_j)^{\mu_j}$, where $\mu_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sum_j \mu_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sum_j \mu_j > -p$.

Suppose

$$\operatorname{Res}_\infty a(z) z^i dz = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, p-2.$$

Then $a(z)$ is polynomial.

Proof. Let g be a polynomial. Then

$$0 = \operatorname{Res}_\infty d(g(z) \cdot a(z)) = \operatorname{Res}_\infty (g'(z)a(z) + a'(z)g(z))dz$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Res}_\infty \left(g'(z) + \sum_j \frac{\mu_j}{z - y_j} g(z) \right) a(z) dz = 0.$$

Let $g(z) = z^l \prod_j (z - y_j)$. Then $g'(z) + \sum_j \frac{\mu_j}{z - y_j} g(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $l + p - 1$ with highest coefficient $l + p + \sum \mu_j \neq 0$ (as $\sum \mu_j > -p$). This means that for every $l \geq 0$, $\operatorname{Res}_\infty z^{l+p-1} a(z) dz$ is linear combination of residues of $z^q a(z) dz$ with $q < l + p - 1$. By the assumption of the lemma, this implies by induction in l that all such residues are 0 and hence a is a polynomial. $\square$

In our case $\sum (m_j \frac{r}{n} - 1) = r - p$ (since $\sum m_j = n$) and the conditions of the lemma are satisfied. Hence $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is in the zero set of I_k iff $\prod_{j=1}^p (z - y_j)^{m_j \frac{r}{n} - 1}$ is a polynomial. This is equivalent to saying that all m_j are divisible by $\frac{n}{d}$.

We have proved that $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is in the zero set of I_k iff $(z - x_1) \dots (z - x_n)$ is the n/d -th power of a polynomial of degree d . This implies the theorem.

4. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE RATIONAL CHEREDNIK ALGEBRA FOR THE COMPLEX REFLECTION GROUP $S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^n$.

4.1. The formula for the singular vector. Let $l \geq 2$ be an integer. Consider the complex reflection group $W = S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^n$ acting on the n -dimensional space. The set $S \subset W$ of complex reflections consists of the elements $s_i^{(m)}$ and $\sigma_{i,j}^{(m)}$ defined by

$$s_i^{(m)}(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, \varepsilon^m x_i, \dots, x_n), \quad 1 \leq m < l;$$

$$\sigma_{i,j}^{(m)}(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, \varepsilon^m x_j, \dots, \varepsilon^{-m} x_i, \dots, x_n), \quad 0 \leq m < l$$

(here ε is a primitive l -th root of unity). Consider the W -invariant function $c : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $c(s_i^{(m)}) = c_m$ for all i and $c(\sigma_{i,j}^{(m)}) = k$ for all i, j, m (where k and $\{c_m\}$ are fixed constants). Let $H_c(n, l)$ denote the rational Cherednik algebra $H_c(W)$ corresponding to W and c . Let M_c be the polynomial representation of this algebra.

Fix a positive integer r , which is not divisible by l . Thus $r = (p-1)l + q$, where p is a positive integer, and $1 \leq q \leq l-1$ is an integer. Denote by E_r the affine hyperplane of those functions c for which $l(n-1)k + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j \frac{1-\varepsilon^{-jq}}{1-\varepsilon^{-j}} = r$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_q$ be the representation of W on $\mathbb{C}^n$ in which S_n acts by permutations, and s_i multiplies the i -th coordinate of a vector by ε^{-q} (thus the reflection representation

corresponds to $q = l - 1$ and the dual reflection representation to $q = 1$). Let s be the largest integer which is $< p/n$.

We have the following analog of Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 4.1. *For $c \in E_r$ the polynomial representation M_c of $H_c(n, l)$ contains a copy of representation $\mathfrak{h}_q$ of W in degree r consisting of singular vectors. This copy is spanned by the functions f_i , where*

$$f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{Res}_\infty \frac{z^{(p-nk)l-1}}{(k-1) \dots (k-s)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (z^l - x_j^l)^k \right) \frac{x_i^q dz}{z^l - x_i^l}.$$

Remark. Clearly, if $k = 1, \dots, s$ then

$$\text{Res}_\infty z^{(p-nk)l-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (z^l - x_j^l)^k \right) \frac{x_i^q dz}{z^l - x_i^l} = 0.$$

Thus the functions f_i are polynomial in k . Moreover, they do not vanish identically for any k , as easily seen by computing the coefficients.

Proof. This proposition can be proved by direct computation. It can also be obtained as a simple consequence of the results of [DO]. $\square$

4.2. Finite dimensional representations of $H_c(n, l)$. Let $U_r = \mathbb{C}[u]/(u^r)$. This is naturally a $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded representation of $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$, $m \circ u^j = \varepsilon^{-mj} u^j$. Thus $U_r^{\otimes n}$ is a graded representation of W . The character of this representation is $\text{Tr}|_{U_r}(gt^D) = \frac{\det|_{\mathfrak{h}_q}(1-t^r g)}{\det|_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(1-tg)}$, where D is the grading operator.

Theorem 4.2. (i) *For $c \in E_r$, there exists a lowest weight module Y_c over $H_c(n, l)$ with trivial lowest weight (i.e. a quotient of M_c) which is isomorphic to $U_r^{\otimes n}$ as a graded W -module.*

(ii) *For generic $c \in E_r$, Y_c is irreducible.*

Proof. Let I_c be the ideal generated by f_i , and $\tilde{Y}_c = M_c/I_c$.

If $k = 0$, a direct computation shows that $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = Cx_i^r$, where C is a nonzero constant. In this case, $H_c(n, l) = \mathbb{C}[S_n] \ltimes (H_{c_1, \dots, c_{l-1}}(1, l)^{\otimes n})$, so $\tilde{Y}_c$ is simply $U_r^{\otimes n}$, where U_r is the r -dimensional lowest weight module over $H_{c_1, \dots, c_{l-1}}(1, l)$ (with trivial lowest weight) which exists when $2 \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} c_j \frac{1-\varepsilon^{-jq}}{1-\varepsilon^{-j}} = r$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that for generic $c_1, \dots, c_{l-1}$ satisfying this equation, the module U_r is irreducible. Thus, for generic $c \in E_r \cap \{k = 0\}$, the module $\tilde{Y}_c$ is irreducible (of dimension r^n), and the kernel of the Shapovalov form on M_c coincides with I_c .

Using standard semicontinuity arguments, we conclude from this that for generic $c \in E_r$, $\dim(\tilde{Y}_c) \leq r^n$.

On the other hand, let L_c denote the irreducible module over $H_c(n, l)$ with trivial lowest weight, i.e. the quotient of M_c by the kernel of the Shapovalov form. The previous argument shows that for generic $c \in E_r$, $\dim L_c \geq r^n$. But L_c is clearly a quotient of $\tilde{Y}_c$. This implies that for generic $c \in E_r$, $L_c = \tilde{Y}_c$, and in particular $\tilde{Y}_c$ is irreducible.

To finish the proof, observe that the ideal I_c really depends only on one parameter k . Therefore, we can define Y_c by setting, for generic k , $Y_c := \tilde{Y}_c = M_c/I_c$, and for special k (i.e. finitely many values), $Y_c = M_c/\hat{I}_c$, where $\hat{I}_c = \lim_{c' \rightarrow c} I_{c'}$ (the

limit exists since any regular map from $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{k_1, \dots, k_N\}$ to a Grassmannian can be extended to the whole $\mathbb{P}^1$). Clearly, Y_c is a lowest weight $H_c(n, l)$ -module, satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Thus the theorem is proved. $\square$

Remark. Let $l = 2$. In this case our condition on r is that r is odd, and the equation of E_r has the form $2(n-1)k + 2c_1 = r$. Thus, in this case we recover Theorem 6.1 from [BEG].

4.3. The dimension of $\tilde{Y}_c$. Let

$$\Sigma_r = \left\{ \frac{P}{Q} \mid P, Q \text{ integers and } (P, Q) = 1, \quad 1 \leq P \leq p-1, \quad 1 \leq Q \leq n \right\}$$

(where $r = (p-1)l + q$), and let $\tilde{Y}_c, Y_c$ be as defined in the previous subsection.

Theorem 4.3. (i) $\tilde{Y}_c$ is finite dimensional if and only if $k \notin \Sigma_r$.

(ii) $\tilde{Y}_c$ is finite dimensional if and only if $\tilde{Y}_c = Y_c$.

(iii) If $l = 2$ and $k \notin \Sigma_r$ then Y_c is irreducible.

Proof. (i) It suffices to prove that the support of $\tilde{Y}_c$ is $\{0\}$ iff $k \notin \Sigma_r$. The proof will be analogous to that of Theorem 3.2.

The support of $\tilde{Y}_c$ is the common zero set of the functions f_i . Fix $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

We have: $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for all i iff $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for all λ_i , i.e.

$$\text{Res}_\infty \left(z^a \prod_{j=1}^n (z^l - x_j^l)^k \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i x_i^q}{z^l - x_i^l} \right) dz = 0,$$

where $a = (p - nk)l$.

Let $d \geq 0$ be the number of distinct nonzero numbers among $x_1^l, \dots, x_n^l$. More specifically, assume that $x_1^l, \dots, x_n^l$ take values $y_0 = 0, y_1, \dots, y_d$ with multiplicities $m_0, m_1, \dots, m_d$, such that $m_j > 0$ if $j > 0$ (so $\sum_{i=0}^d m_j = n$). From the above equation we see that the point $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is in the zero set if and only if for any $\nu_i, i = 1, \dots, d$

$$\text{Res}_\infty \left[z^{a+lm_0} \prod_{j=1}^d (z^l - y_j)^{m_j k - 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \nu_i (z^l - y_1) \dots (\widehat{z^l - y_i}) \dots (z^l - y_d) \right) \right] z^{-1} dz = 0.$$

This equation is equivalent to

$$\text{Res}_\infty \left(z^{a+(m_0 k + i)l} \prod_{j=1}^d (z^l - y_j)^{m_j k - 1} \right) z^{-1} dz = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, d-1.$$

Lets make a change of variables $w = z^l$. Then the equations above will take the form

$$\text{Res}_\infty \left((w - y_0)^{p-(n-m_0)k-1} \prod_{j=1}^d (w - y_j)^{m_j k - 1} w^i \right) dw = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, d-1.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 (whose conditions are clearly satisfied) we get that these equations hold iff the function

$$F(w) := w^{p-(n-m_0)k-1} \prod_{j=1}^d (w - y_j)^{m_j k - 1}$$

is a polynomial.

The function $F(w)$ is a polynomial iff $p - (n - m_0)k - 1$ and $m_j k - 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d$ are non-negative integers.

Suppose $k \notin \mathbb{Q}$ or $k = \frac{P}{Q}$ with $(P, Q) = 1$ and $Q > n$. Then there is no integer $1 \leq m \leq n$, such that $mk - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means that for such k F is a polynomial only if $d = 0$. Hence the support of $\tilde{Y}_c$ is $\{0\}$.

Suppose $k = \frac{P}{Q}$ with $(P, Q) = 1$ and $Q \leq n$. Let $M_j = km_j$. The condition that F is a polynomial implies that M_j are positive integers and $p - 1 - \sum_{j=1}^d M_j \geq 0$. This means that either $d = 0$ or $P \leq p - 1$, i.e. $k \in \Sigma_r$. Thus, if the support of $\tilde{Y}_c$ is nonzero, then $k \in \Sigma_r$.

Conversely, let $k = P/Q \in \Sigma_r$. Take $d = 1$, $m_1 = Q$, $m_0 = n - Q$, and choose $y_1 \neq 0$ arbitrarily. Then F is a polynomial. So the support of $\tilde{Y}_c$ in this case is nonzero.

Thus, statement (i) is proved.

(ii) Clearly, Y_c is a quotient of $\tilde{Y}_c$. The rest follows from Theorem 2.3.

(iii) If $l = 2$ then W is a reflection group. Thus the result follows from Theorem 2.3. $\square$

Part (iii) of Theorem 4.3 generalizes Proposition 6.4 of [BEG].

Remark. Part (iii) of Theorem 4.3 fails for complex reflection groups, as seen from considering the rank 1 case: in this case Σ_r is empty, but Y_c is not always irreducible. Similarly, one cannot drop in part (iii) the assumption that $k \notin \Sigma_r$: this is demonstrated by Subsection 6.4 in [BEG].

REFERENCES

- [BEG] Yu. Berest, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Finite dimensional representations of rational Cherednik algebras*, arXiv:math.RT/0208138.
- [BEG1] Yuri Berest, Pavel Etingof, Victor Ginzburg, Cherednik algebras and differential operators on quasi-invariants, math.QA/0111005.
- [E] Eisenbud, David, Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [EG] P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, Invent. Math. **147**(2002), 243-348, [arXiv:math.AG/0011114].
- [Du] C. Dunkl, *Intertwining operators and polynomials associated with symmetric group*, Monatshefte Math. **126**(1998), 181-209.
- [DO] C. F. Dunkl, E. M. Opdam, Dunkl operators for complex reflection groups, math.RT/0108185.
- [Go] Iain Gordon, On the quotient ring by diagonal harmonics, math.RT/0208126.
- [GGOR] Victor Ginzburg, Nicolas Guay, Eric Opdam, Raphael Rouquier, On the category $\mathcal{O}$ for rational Cherednik algebras, math.RT/0212036.
- [S] Serre, J.-P., *Algebre locale, multiplicites*, Lect. notes in math., v.11, 1965.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138, USA

E-mail address: `chmutova@math.harvard.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE,
MA 02139, USA

E-mail address: `etingof@math.mit.edu`